## Embargo

**Neoliberals will use economic engagement as a tool to push capitalism on to Cuba**

**Wenston & Woods ‘08**

(Fred & Alan, Alan Woods is a Trotskyist political theorist and author. He is one of the leading members of the International Marxist Tendency, as well as its British affiliate group Socialist Appeal, [Vultures hovering over Cuba after Fidel Castro steps down](http://www.cjournal.info/2008/02/20/vultures-hovering-over-cuba-after-fidel-castro-steps-down/) http://www.cjournal.info/2008/02/20/vultures-hovering-over-cuba-after-fidel-castro-steps-down/)

**They all pretend to be democrats when it comes to Cuba. In reality they**

**AND**

to capitalism accompanied by a firm grip on state power at the top.

**Maintaining the embargo is preferable to the influx of Us neoliberalism – their impact claims are built on ideological lies.**

**Malott No date but it is after 2005,**

(Curry Malott teaches at D’Youville College, NY, “Cuban education in Neo – Liberal times: Social revolution and state capitalism, I don’t know the other information since I wasn’t the one who got this online)

**It can hardly be denied that Cuba’s achievements, most notably in the areas of**

**AND**

available (discussed below). What have these changes looked like in practice?

**Lifting the embargo destroys the Cuban revolution, which is key to worldwide anti-neoliberal revolution.**

**Wenston & Woods ‘08**

(Fred & Alan, Alan Woods is a Trotskyist political theorist and author. He is one of the leading members of the International Marxist Tendency, as well as its British affiliate group Socialist Appeal, Vultures hovering over Cuba after Fidel Castro steps down http://www.cjournal.info/2008/02/20/vultures-hovering-over-cuba-after-fidel-castro-steps-down/)

**¶ If the Cuban revolution were defeated**, as happened in Russia, **it would**

**AND**

**the opposite of what is required, from their class point of view.**

#### Expanding globalization to Cuba is part of an imperial strategy to displace revolutionary potential in Cuba. The outcome of the expansion of globalization is environmental destruction and inequality.

Bliss 2005 (Dr. Susan Bliss: Director of Global Education, 7/5/2005, “Sustainability of Modern Cuba’s post revolution globalisation process”,)

Globalisation is not a new phenomenon in Cuba, evolving from the 16th century with

AND

are ‘free and fair’, equitably structured and immune from corporate power.

#### Despite the affirmative’s benevolent intentions, their framing of Cuban intervention justifies the expansion of American security throughout Latin America

Lima 2011 (Lázaro. "Empire's Remains: Cuba, Cuban America, and “the American 1898”.American Literary History vol. 23 no. 2)

Louis A. Perez, Jr.’s Cuba in the American Imagination: Metaphor

AND

to how to understand Cuba from the vantage point of US imperial policy.

#### You should be skeptical of their engagement with Cuba – expanding American geopolitical influence in the region only serves to further the goals of US hegemony and the securitization of borders.

Nicol, 2011 [Heather Nicol, Associate Professor in the Department of Geography, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada, “U.S. Hegemony in the 21st century: Cuba's Place in the regionalizing geopolitics of North America and Caribbean Countries” Journal of Borderlands Studies, 23:1, 31-52, DOI: 10.1080/08865655.2008.9695687]

The process of U.S. hegemony in North American economies is not unique

AND

, particularly in North America and the countries immediately touching its geographical borders.

**THE CATEGORY OF WOMAN IS A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE OF HOW CAPITALISM USES IDENTITY POLITICS TO FIGHT FOR LEGITIMACY**

**Hennessy, Professor of English, SUNY- Albany, 2000**

**(Rosemary, Profit and Pleasure: Sexual Identities in Late Capitalism,)**

For the historical materialist, however, the codes of cultural difference, or the

AND

result, some black women were reluctant to embrace the signifier “Ms.”

#### No impact–Russia won’t attack the U.S.

**Boston Herald, 00** (Sept 13)

Despite the hard-line mentality of the Russian military, it is inconceivable that Moscow would ever launch a nuclear strike against the United States. It's quite conceivable that China would. Remember when high-ranking Chinese generals threatened Los Angeles, if we decided to interfere with their conquest of Taiwan?